



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/425,739	10/22/1999	CHARLES A. PEYSER	020748.0104PTUS	9954
32042	7590 10/21/2005		EXAM	INER
PATTON BO		,	FADOK, MARK A	
8484 WESTPARK DRIVE		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 900			ARTUNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MCLEAN, V.	A 22102		3625	

DATE MAILED: 10/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/425,739	PEYSER ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Mark Fadok	3625			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 Ju	ılv 2005.				
•		action is non-final.				
·		e this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under E					
Diamoniti	·					
· <u> </u>	on of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 4-9</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)区	Claim(s) <u>1,2,and 4-9</u> are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	a bassa bassa sa sa ta t				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	,					
Attachmen						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te atent Application (PTO-152)			
	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
S Patent and Tr	adamadi Office					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Offi PTOL 326 (Rev. 7-05)

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

The examiner is in receipt of applicant's response to office action mailed 4/28/2005, which was received 7/28/2005. Acknowledgement is made to the amendment to claims 1,2,4-9 and the amendment to the specification. The specification amendment was effective in overcoming the USC 112 rejection, however after consideration of the claim amendments a restriction is in order AND IS PROVIDED BELOW:

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1,2,4-7, drawn to a method for selling telecommunications involving the accessing of information including the further limitation "wherein the request is received after the information associated with one or more of a plurality of service providers", classified in class 705, subclass 26.
- II. Claim 8, drawn to a system for provisioning telecommunications service including receiving acceptance to the response during the session and preventing acceptance to the response after the session, classified in class 705, subclass 26.

III. Claim 9, drawn to a method for purchasing telecommunications services during a session, including preventing the modifying of the set of responses during the session, classified in class 705, subclass 26.

Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention I has separate utility such as selling telecommunications involving the accessing of information including the further limitation "wherein the request is received after the information associated with one or more of a plurality of service providers". See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Inventions I and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention I has separate utility such as selling telecommunications involving the accessing of information including the further limitation "wherein the request is received after the information associated with one or more of a plurality of service providers". See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Art Unit: 3625

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Inventions II and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention III has separate utility such as preventing the modifying of the set of responses during the session. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Group IA - 1,2

Group IB - 1,4

Group IC – 1,5

Group ID - 1,6

Group IE – 1,7

Application/Control Number: 09/425,739 Page 5

Art Unit: 3625

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, 1,8 and 9 generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 09/425,739

Art Unit: 3625

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Mark Fadok** whose telephone number is **(571) 272-6755**. The examiner can normally be reached Monday thru Thursday 8:00 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Wynn Coggins** can be reached on (571) 272-7159.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the **receptionist** whose telephone number is **(571) 272-3600**.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Va. 22313-1450

or faxed to:

(571) 273-8300

[Official communications; including

After Final communications labeled

"Box AF"]

(571) 273-6755

[Informal/Draft communications, labeled

"PROPOSED" or "DRAFT"]

Art Unit: 3625

Mark Fadok

Primary Examiner